

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

Helen Brennan,

Case No. 2:20-cv-00662-RFB-DJA

Plaintiff,

Order

V.

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.,

Defendants.

13 On December 20, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiff’s counsel’s—Craig A. Mueller, of the  
14 law firm Craig Mueller and Associates—motion to withdraw. (ECF No. 68). In that order, the  
15 Court required Plaintiff to inform the Court whether she would proceed *pro se* or retain counsel  
16 by January 21, 2022. (*Id.*). That deadline has since passed, and the Court has had no contact  
17 from Plaintiff. The Court thus orders Plaintiff to show cause why she has not filed a notice with  
18 the Court informing it whether she intends to retain new counsel or proceed *pro se*. If Plaintiff  
19 does not comply with this Order, the Court will recommend that this action be dismissed without  
20 prejudice. *See McClure v. Fessler*, 57 Fed.Appx. 727, 727 (9th Cir. 2003) (“the district court may  
21 dismiss a case *sua sponte* for failure to prosecute.”).

22           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff must file a response to this Order within  
23 thirty days—by **Monday, February 27, 2022**. Plaintiff must show cause why she has not  
24 complied with the Court’s order requiring her to inform the Court whether she retain new counsel  
25 or proceed *pro se*. The Clerk of Court is kindly directed to mail Plaintiff a copy of this Order.

1           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, should Plaintiff not file a response within thirty days  
2 from the date of this Order, the Court may enter sanctions up to and including a recommendation  
3 for dismissal.

4

5           DATED: January 31, 2022

6

7           

---

DANIEL J. ALBRECHTS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28